arXiv:1510.05079v2 [math.AG] 16 Nov 2015

LOG CANONICAL THRESHOLDS ON GROUP COMPACTIFICATIONS

THIBAUT DELCROIX

Abstract. We compute the log canonical thresholds of non-negatively curved singular hermitian metrics on ample linearized line bundles on bi-equivariant group compactifications of complex reductive groups. To this end, we associate to any such metric a convex function whose asymptotic behavior determines the log canonical threshold. As a consequence we obtain a formula for the alpha invariant of these line bundles, in terms of the polytope associated to the group compactification.

Introduction

The aim of this article is to begin the study of Kähler metrics on polarized $G \times G$-equivariant compactifications of a connected complex reductive group G. This class of manifolds generalizes the well known class of polarized toric manifolds, and we extend some techniques of toric geometry to this setting. In this article, we study singular hermitian metrics on linearized ample line bundles, which are non-negatively curved and invariant under the action of $K \times K$, where K is a maximal compact subgroup of G. We associate to such a metric a convex function on some real vector space, that we call the convex potential of the metric, and show how the asymptotic behavior of this function is controlled by a polytope associated to the line bundle. This generalizes the case of toric manifolds and relies on the KAK decomposition of a reductive group.

The correspondence between metrics and their convex potentials is a bijection and provides a description of the set of non-negatively curved, $K \times K$-invariant, singular hermitian metrics. Furthermore, to obtain this description we use a special continuous reference metric that generalizes the Batyrev-Tschinkel metric on toric line bundles, which had already been used as a model for the behavior of continuous metrics in [CLT10].

We then proceed to compute the log canonical threshold of such metrics, in terms of the asymptotic behavior of their convex potential. To achieve that goal, we associate a convex body to the metric, that we call the Newton body of the metric, which gives another way to encode the asymptotic behavior of the convex potential, and is well suited to fan decompositions. We should stress at this point that another important ingredient is the existence of a toric subvariety (and corresponding fan) in any group compactification, that contains the information about the compactification. We obtain the following theorem.

Theorem A. *Let (X, L) be a polarized $G \times G$-equivariant compactification of G. Assume furthermore that X is Fano. Denote by P the polytope associated to L and by Q the polytope associated to the anticanonical line bundle $-K_X$. Let also H denote the convex hull of the images, by the Weyl group W of G, of the sum of the*

positive roots of G. Let h be a $K\times K$-invariant hermitian metric with non negative curvature on L, then the log canonical threshold of h is given by:

$$\mathrm{lct}(h)=\sup\{c>0; 2H+2cP\subset cN(h)+2Q\},$$

where $N(h)$ is the Newton body of h.

Using this expression of the log canonical threshold, we are able to compute Tian's α invariant for any ample linearized line bundle on a Fano group compactification, with respect to the $K\times K$ action.

Theorem B. *Let (X,L) be a polarized compactification of G, and $P:=P(X,L)$. Assume furthermore that X is Fano and let $Q:=P(X,-K_X)$. Then*

$$\alpha_{K\times K}(L)=\sup\{c>0; c(P+(-P^W))\subset Q\ominus H\},$$

where P^W denotes the subset of W-invariant points of P and W is the Weyl group of G.

This formula generalizes the formula for the α-invariant of polarized Fano toric manifolds previously obtained in [Del15], and independently by other authors [Amb, LSY15]. In the case of the anticanonical line bundle on a Fano toric manifold, the formula was initially obtained by Song [Son05].

The original motivation of this work was to obtain such an expression, hoping that Tian's criterion for the existence of Kähler-Einstein metrics in terms of this invariant would be satisfied by some group compactifications. Recall that Tian's criterion [Tia87] is that if the α invariant is strictly greater than $\frac{n}{n+1}$ where n is the dimension of the manifold, then there exists a Kähler-Einstein metric.

For toric manifolds, additional symmetries had to be taken into account for the criterion to be satisfied. For the important examples of wonderful compactifications of semisimple adjoint groups with no rank one factor, Brion computed their automorphism group in [Bri07], so that our result allows to compute the alpha invariant with respect to a maximal compact subgroup of the automorphism group, but Tian's criterion is not satisfied. Even though we can in some cases consider additional symmetries, we do not obtain new examples of Kähler-Einstein metrics by this method. Our study of hermitian metrics will be used in [Dela] where we obtain a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of Kähler-Einstein metrics on a group compactification in terms of the polytope. The present article and [Dela] contain the main results of the author's PhD thesis [Delb].

1. Group compactifications

1.1. Definition and examples.

Let G be a connected complex reductive group.

Definition 1.1. Let X be a projective manifold. We say that X is a *smooth $G\times G$-equivariant compactification of G* (or in short a *compactification of G*) if X admits a holomorphic $G\times G$-action with an open and dense orbit equivariantly isomorphic to G as a $G\times G$-homogeneous space under the action defined by $(g_1,g_2)\cdot g=g_1gg_2^{-1}$.

Let X be a compactification of G. We will always identify G with the open and dense orbit in X. These manifolds belong to the class of *spherical manifolds* [Per14, Tim11]. There is a finite number of $G\times G$-orbits in X and the boundary $X\setminus G$ is of codimension one.

Example 1.2. If $G = T \simeq (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ is a torus, then the compactifications of T are the projective toric manifolds. One goes from the T-action to the $T \times T$ action through the morphism $T \times T \to T, (t_1, t_2) \mapsto t_1 t_2^{-1}$.

Example 1.3. Assume that G is an adjoint semisimple group. Then De Concini and Procesi [DCP83] showed the existence of a special compactification of G, called the wonderful compactification of G. It is the only compactification of G satisfying the following property : its boundary $X \setminus G$ (where we identify the open and dense orbit in X with G) is a union of simple normal crossing prime divisors D_i, $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, such that for any subset $I \subset \{1, \dots, r\}$, the intersection $X \cap \bigcap_{i \in I} D_i$ is the closure of a unique $G \times G$-orbit, and all $G \times G$-orbits appear this way. The integer r is equal to the rank of G, which is the dimension of a maximal torus in G.

The wonderful compactification of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ is especially simple : it is $\mathbb{P}^3$ considered as $\mathbb{P}(\mathrm{Mat}_{2,2}(\mathbb{C}))$ equipped with the action of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ induced by the multiplication of matrices on the left and on the right.

1.2. **Polytopes associated to a polarized group compactification.** Recall that a *G-linearized line bundle* over a G-manifold X is a line bundle L on X equipped with an action of G lifting the action on X, and such that the morphisms between the fibers induced by this action are linear.

Let G be a connected complex reductive group. We call a *polarized group compactification* a pair (X, L) where X is a compactification of G and L is a $G \times G$-linearized line bundle on X.

Choose T a maximal torus in G, and let S be a maximal compact torus in T. We denote, as usual, by M the lattice of characters of T and by N the lattice of one parameter subgroups of T, naturally dual to each other. Denote by $\mathfrak{s}$ the Lie algebra of S, by $\mathfrak{t}$ the Lie algebra of T and by $\mathfrak{a}$ the Lie algebra $i\mathfrak{s} \subset \mathfrak{t}$. We identify $\mathfrak{a}$ with $N \otimes \mathbb{R}$, and $\mathfrak{a}^*$ with $M \otimes \mathbb{R}$.

Let $\Phi \subset \mathfrak{a}^*$ denote the root system of (G, T). Let W be its Weyl group. Choose a system of positive roots Φ^+. It defines a positive Weyl chamber $\mathfrak{a}^+$ in $\mathfrak{a}$, resp. $\mathfrak{a}^*_+$ in $\mathfrak{a}^*$.

Theorem 1.4. [AB04, Section 2] *Let (X, L) be a polarized group compactification of G. Denote by Z the closure of T in X. Then Z is a toric manifold, equipped with a W-action, and $L|_Z$ is a W-linearized ample toric line bundle on Z.*

We denote by $P(X, L)$, or P for simplicity, the polytope associated to the ample toric line bundle $L|_Z$ by the theory of toric varieties [Ful93, Oda88]. The polytope P is a lattice polytope in $M \otimes \mathbb{R}$, and it is W-invariant. Define $P^+(X, L) = P(X, L) \cap \mathfrak{a}^*_+$. It is a polytope in $\mathfrak{a}^*$, and $P(X, L)$ is the union of the images of $P^+(X, L)$ by W.

The polytope $P^+(X, L)$ encodes the structure of $G \times G$-representation of the space of holomorphic sections of L, generalizing the same property for toric line bundles.

Proposition 1.5. [AB04, Section 2.2] *Let (X, L) be a polarized group compactification, then*

$$H^0(X, L) \simeq \bigoplus \{\mathrm{End}(V_\alpha); \alpha \in M \cap P^+(X, L)\}$$

where V_α is an irreducible representation of G with highest weight α.

Example 1.6. [BK05, Proposition 6.1.11] The wonderful compactification X of an adjoint semisimple group is Fano. The corresponding polytope $P(X, -K_X)$ is the convex hull of the images by the Weyl group W of the weight $2\rho + \sum_{i=1}^{r} \alpha_i$, where the α_i are the simple roots of Φ^+ and 2ρ is the sum of the positive roots.

2. Convex potential

In this section we introduce the convex potential of a $K \times K$-invariant, non-negatively curved singular hermitian metric on a polarized group compactification. This correspondence gives a bijection between the set of these metrics and the set of the W-invariant convex functions on $\mathfrak{a}$ which satisfy asymptotic behavior conditions.

2.1. **Singular hermitian metrics and potentials.** Let X be a compactification of G, and L a linearized ample line bundle on X. Given a hermitian metric h on L and a local trivialization s of L on an open subset $U \subset X$, the *local potential* of h with respect to s is the function ϕ defined on U by

$$\phi(x) = -\ln(|s(x)|_h^2).$$

We consider here singular hermitian metrics and only require that the potential with respect to any local trivialization is locally integrable. The value $+\infty$ for the potentials is allowed.

We say that a hermitian metric is *locally bounded* if its potentials with respect to any trivialization on a sufficiently small open subset are bounded. A hermitian metric is smooth (resp. continuous) if and only if its potentials with respect to any local trivialization are. A continuous hermitian metric is locally bounded.

Given a reference metric h_0, we define the *global potential* ψ of h with respect to h_0 by

$$\psi(x) = -\ln\left(\frac{|y|_h^2}{|y|_{h_0}^2}\right),$$

for any element y of the fiber L_x. This is a function on X that can a priori take the values $\pm\infty$. If s is a local trivialization on U, ϕ (resp. ϕ_0) is the potential of h (resp. h_0) with respect to s, then $\psi = \phi - \phi_0$ on U.

2.2. **The convex potential.** Let (X, L) be a polarized compactification of G.

We identify G with the open dense orbit in X, and first build a trivialization of L on G. Choose 1_e a non-zero element of the fiber L_e above the neutral element e of G. Define the section s of L on G by $s(g) = (g, e)\cdot 1_e$. This section is a trivialization over G, equivariant under the action of $G \times \{e\}$, and any such trivialization is a scalar multiple of s.

Denote by ϕ the local potential of h on G with respect to s.

We are interested in hermitian metrics that are invariant under the action of $K \times K$ where K is a maximal compact subgroup of G. We choose K such that $K \cap T = S$. We will use the classical KAK decomposition of a complex reductive group.

Proposition 2.1. [Kna02, Theorem 7.39] *Any element $g \in G$ can be written in the form $g = k_1 \exp(x) k_2$ where $k_1, k_2 \in K$ and $x \in \mathfrak{a}^+$. Furthermore, x is uniquely determined by g. In other words, the set $A^+ := \{\exp(x); x \in \mathfrak{a}^+\}$ is a fundamental domain for the $K \times K$-action on G.*

We first remark that if h is $K \times K$-invariant, then its potential with respect to the section constructed above is still $K \times K$-invariant.

Proposition 2.2. *Assume that h is $K \times K$-invariant, then ϕ is also $K \times K$-invariant.*

Proof. Let $k_1, k_2 \in K$ and $g \in G$. We can first write

$$\begin{aligned} s(k_1 g k_2) &= (k_1 g k_2, e) \cdot 1_e \\ &= (k_1, k_2^{-1})(g, e)(k_2, k_2) \cdot 1_e. \end{aligned}$$

The subgroup $\mathrm{diag}(G) = \{(g, g) | g \in G\}$ fixes the neutral element $e \in G$, and thus acts on the fiber L_e through a character χ of G, so that $(g, g) \cdot 1_e = \chi(g) 1_e$.

We can thus compute

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(k_1 g k_2) &= -\ln(|s(k_1 g k_2)|_h^2) \\ &= -\ln(|(k_1, k_2^{-1})(g, e)(k_2, k_2) \cdot 1_e|_h^2) \end{aligned}$$

by $K \times K$-invariance of h, this is

$$= -\ln(|(g, e)(k_2, k_2) \cdot 1_e|_h^2)$$

and, by linearity,

$$= -\ln(|\chi(k_2)||(g, e) \cdot 1_e|_h^2)$$

Since K is compact, $|\chi(k_2)| = 1$, so we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(k_1 g k_2) &= -\ln(|(g, e) \cdot 1_e|_h^2) \\ &= \phi(g). \end{aligned}$$

□

Assume that h is in addition non-negatively curved. Then ϕ is a $K \times K$-invariant plurisubharmonic function on G. Let u be the function on $\mathfrak{a}$ defined by

$$u(x) = \phi(\exp(x)).$$

Then Azad and Loeb proved in [AL92] that the function u is convex and W-invariant.

In particular, since we assumed that the local potentials of singular hermitian metrics are locally integrable, the $K \times K$-invariance of h ensures that the functions u, respectively ϕ take finite values on $\mathfrak{a}$, resp. G. Indeed, a convex function that takes an infinite value at a point must take an infinite value on a whole octant starting from that point, and then the corresponding $K \times K$-invariant function on G is not locally integrable.

Definition 2.3. We will call u the *convex potential* of h.

2.3. **Asymptotic behavior of the convex potential.**

2.3.1. *A special metric.* Let us begin by introducing a continuous, $K\times K$-invariant, reference hermitian metric on L. We start from the Batyrev-Tschinkel metric defined on toric manifolds, and generalize it to build a reference continuous metric for any polarized group compactification (X,L), with convex potential the support function of the polytope $2P(X,L)$.

Given a toric manifold Z, equipped with a linearized line bundle D, there is a natural continuous hermitian metric h_D, invariant under the action of the compact torus, on D, called the Batyrev-Tschinkel metric (see [Mai00, Section 3.3]). If furthermore the line bundle D is ample, then this metric is non-negatively curved, and its convex potential is the support function v of the polytope $2P(Z,D)$.

Suppose now that (X,L) is a polarized group compactification, and Z is the toric submanifold. Denote $L|_Z$ by D. Then $P(Z,D)=P(X,L)$ is W-invariant, which implies that the Batyrev-Tschinkel metric h_D is W-invariant.

We want to extend h_D to a continuous $K\times K$-invariant metric h_L on X. Define h_L at $\xi\in L_g$ by $|\xi|_{h_L}=|(k_1,k_2)\cdot\xi|_{h_D}$, for $(k_1,k_2)\in K\times K$ such that $k_1gk_2^{-1}\in T$. We need to check that this is well defined. Since h_D is W-invariant we only need to check that, for $t\in T$, if $(k_1,k_2)\in \mathrm{Stab}_{K\times K}(t)$ then $|\xi|_{h_D}=|(k_1,k_2)\cdot\xi|_{h_D}$. But $\mathrm{Stab}_{K\times K}(t)$ acts linearly on the line L_t, through a character χ. By compacity, $|\chi(k_1,k_2)|=1$, so $|(k_1,k_2)\cdot\xi|_{h_D}=|\chi(k_1,k_2)\xi|_{h_D}=|\xi|_{h_D}$.

2.3.2. *Asymptotic behavior.*

Theorem 2.4. *The singular hermitian $K\times K$-invariant metrics h with non negative current curvature are in bijection with the convex W-invariant functions $u:\mathfrak{a}\longrightarrow\mathbb{R}$ satisfying the condition that there exists a constant $C_1\in\mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$u(x)\leq v(x)+C_1$$

on $\mathfrak{a}$, where v is the support function of the polytope $2P(X,L)$. This bijection is obtained by associating to h its convex potential u. Furthermore, h is locally bounded if and only if there exists in addition a constant $C_2\in\mathbb{R}$ such that

$$v(x)+C_2\leq\varphi(x)\leq v(x)+C_1.$$

Proof. Let h be a singular hermitian $K\times K$-invariant metric with non negative current curvature on L. Let u be its convex potential. Recall that h_L denotes the reference continuous metric constructed above, and let ω_L be the curvature current of h_L. Denote by ψ the potential of h with respect to h_L. It is an ω_L-psh function on X. In particular, ψ is bounded from above on X.

Denote by w the function on $\mathfrak{a}$ associated to the $K\times K$-invariant function $\psi|_G$. Then we see that the function $u-v$ is equal to w and thus bounded from above.

If furthermore h is locally bounded then since h_L is also locally bounded, the function w is bounded on X. So $w=u-v$ is bounded on $\mathfrak{a}$.

Conversely, let u be a convex W-invariant function such that $u(x)\leq v(x)+C$. We choose any reference metric h_0 on L that is smooth, positively curved and $K\times K$-invariant. Then by the first direction there exist constants C_1 and C_2 such that if u_0 is the potential of h_0 we have

$$v(x)+C_2\leq u_0(x)\leq v(x)+C_1.$$

Let ω_0 be the curvature form of h_0.

Consider the function $w:=u-u_0$. It will be enough to show that the function ψ on G corresponding to w extends to an ω_0-psh function on X.

First remark that $\psi = \phi - \phi_0$, and by the other direction of the result of Azad and Loeb [AL92], ϕ is psh on G. The assumption on u implies that w, and thus ψ, are bounded from above. Indeed, we have

$$w = u - u_0 \leq v + C - u_0 \leq C - C_2.$$

A classical result on psh functions is that a psh function extends over an analytic subset if and only if it is locally bounded from above. Here, applying that with ψ allows to extend ψ to an ω_0-psh function on X. The corresponding singular hermitian metric h has non-negative curvature, is $K \times K$-invariant, and has convex potential u.

For locally bounded metrics, one just needs to use the refinement that if a psh function is locally bounded then it extends to a bounded psh function. □

3. Newton bodies

In this section we introduce a convex body associated to any non-negatively curved singular $K \times K$-invariant hermitian metric h on an ample linearized line bundle L on a group compactification X. We first define a convex set associated to any function, which is a natural set to consider in the case of convex functions. Applying this construction to the convex potential of a hermitian metric yields a convex body that is contained in $2P(X, L)$, that will be used to compute the log canonical threshold of h.

3.1. **Newton set of a function.**

Definition 3.1. Let f be a function $\mathfrak{a} \to \mathbb{R}$, and σ a closed convex cone in $\mathfrak{a}$. We call *Newton set* of f the following set in $\mathfrak{a}^*$.

$$N_\sigma(f) := \{m \in \mathfrak{a}^*; \exists C, \forall x \in \sigma, f(x) - m(x) \geq C\}.$$

In the following, we will simply call cone a closed convex cone. For any function f and any cone σ, the Newton set $N_\sigma(f)$ is clearly convex.

Recall the definition of the dual cone $\sigma^\vee$ of σ:

$$\sigma^\vee = \{m \in \mathfrak{a}^*; m(x) \geq 0 \ \forall x \in \sigma\}.$$

The Newton set $N_\sigma(f)$ is by definition stable under addition of an element of the opposite of the dual cone $\sigma^\vee \subset \mathfrak{a}^*$. We write this also $N_\sigma(f) = N_\sigma(f) + (-\sigma^\vee)$ where the plus sign means the Minkowki sum of sets.

Example 3.2. Let f be the affine function $f(x) = m(x) + c$ where $m \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ and c is a constant. Then $N_\sigma(f) = m + (-\sigma^\vee)$.

Let us record the following elementary properties of Newton sets.

Proposition 3.3. *Let f and g be two functions on $\mathfrak{a}$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Then*

- $N_\sigma(cf) = cN_\sigma(f)$
- $N_\sigma(f + c) = N_\sigma(f)$
- *if $f \leq g$ then $N_\sigma(f) \leq N_\sigma(g)$.*
- *In particular, if for some constants c_1 and c_2,*

$$g + c_1 \leq f \leq g + c_2$$

on σ, then $N_\sigma(f) = N_\sigma(g)$.

- *Let σ_1 and σ_2 be two convex cones such that $\sigma = \sigma_1 \cup \sigma_2$, then*
$$N_\sigma(f) = N_{\sigma_1}(f) \cap N_{\sigma_2}(f).$$

The last property is very helpful when we want to use a fan decomposition.

Example 3.4. Let $v : \mathfrak{a} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a piecewise linear function along a finite fan decomposition $\sigma_0 = \cup_{i=1}^N \sigma_i$ where $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and the σ_i are convex cones. For $1 \leq i \leq N$, denote by v_i the element of $\mathfrak{a}^*$ such that $v(x) = v_i(x)$ on σ_i. Then
$$N_\sigma(v) = \bigcap_{i=1}^N (v_i + (-\sigma_i^\vee)).$$
If furthermore v is convex, then $N_\sigma(v) = \mathrm{Conv}\{v_i\} + (-\sigma^\vee)$.

3.2. **Newton set of convex functions.** For this subsection only, we will allow convex functions to take the value $+\infty$. If f is such a function we define its *domain* by
$$\mathrm{dom}(f) := \{x \in \mathfrak{a}; f(x) < \infty\}.$$
We impose however that all functions considered have a non empty domain. In the rest of the section, we always assume $\mathrm{dom}(f) = \mathfrak{a}$.

The first remark is that the Newton set of a function f on the whole of $\mathfrak{a}$ is the domain of its *Legendre-Fenchel transform* (or convex conjugate) f^* defined, for $m \in \mathfrak{a}^*$, by
$$f^*(m) := \sup\{m(x) - f(x); x \in \mathfrak{a}\}.$$

Let σ be a convex cone, and define the convex function δ_σ as the indicator function of σ, *i.e.* $\delta_\sigma(x) = 0$ if $x \in \sigma$ and $\delta_\sigma(x) = \infty$ otherwise. Then it is not hard to check that $N_\sigma(f) = N_{\mathfrak{a}}(f + \delta_\sigma)$. In other words $N_\sigma(f)$ is the domain of the convex conjugate of $f + \delta_\sigma$.

We will recall a classical result on convex functions, which allows to express the Newton set of a sum as the Minkowski sum of the Newton sets of the summands. First recall the definition of infimal convolution:

Definition 3.5. Let f and g be two convex function. The *infimal convolution* of f and g is the function $f \square g$ defined, for $x \in \mathfrak{a}$, by
$$f \square g(x) = \inf\{f(x - y) + g(y); y \in \mathfrak{a}\}.$$

Theorem 3.6. [Roc97, Theorem 16.4] *Let f and g be two convex functions on $\mathfrak{a}$, such that the relative interiors of the domains of f and g have a point in common. Then*
$$(f + g)^*(m) = f^* \square g^*.$$

Proposition 3.7. *Let σ be a convex cone, and f a convex function with $\mathrm{dom}(f) = \mathfrak{a}$, then*
$$N_\sigma(f) = N_{\mathfrak{a}}(f) + (-\sigma^\vee).$$

Proof. We have seen that $N_\sigma(f)$ is the domain of the convex conjugate of $f + \delta_\sigma$, but by Theorem 3.6, this is also the domain of the function $f^* \square \delta_\sigma^*$. We can apply this theorem because the intersection of the domains of f and δ_σ is σ.

The domain of an infimal convolution is the Minkowski sum of the domains of the two functions involved, so we just need to compute the domain of δ_σ^*. By definition we check that this is $-\sigma^\vee$, and obtain the statement. □

Proposition 3.8. *Let f and g be two convex functions, both with domain $\mathfrak{a}$, and σ a convex cone. Then $N_\sigma(f+g) = N_\sigma(f) + N_\sigma(g)$.*

Proof. We have, by the previous proposition,

$$N_\sigma(f+g) = N_{\mathfrak{a}}(f+g) + (-\sigma^\vee),$$

and by the same proof,

$$N_{\mathfrak{a}}(f+g) = N_{\mathfrak{a}}(f) + N_{\mathfrak{a}}(g),$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} N_\sigma(f+g) &= N_{\mathfrak{a}}(f) + N_{\mathfrak{a}}(g) + (-\sigma^\vee) \\ &= N_\sigma(f) + N_\sigma(g). \end{aligned}$$

□

3.3. **Newton body of a metric.** Let X be a compactification of G, polarized by L. Let h be a $K \times K$-invariant hermitian metric with non negative curvature on L, and u its convex potential with respect to a fixed left-equivariant trivialization of L on G, which is a function on $\mathfrak{a}$.

Definition 3.9. We will call *Newton body* of h the set $N(h) := N_{\mathfrak{a}}(u)$.

Let P be the polytope corresponding to the polarization L.

Example 3.10. Let h_L be the metric constructed in Section 2.3.1. Its convex potential v is the support function of $2P$, so $N(h_L) = 2P$, as in Example 3.4. Remark that the convex potential of h_L is piecewise linear with respect to the opposite of the fan of the toric subvariety.

Proposition 3.11. *The Newton body of h is stable under the action of the Weyl group W.*

Proof. Let u be the convex potential of h, and let $m \in \mathfrak{a}^*$. Suppose that

$$u(x) - m(x) \geq C$$

for some constant C and for all $x \in \mathfrak{a}$. Let $w \in W$. By W-invariance of u, the inequality is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} C &\leq u(w \cdot x) - m(x) \\ &\leq u(w \cdot x) - w^{-1} \cdot m(w \cdot x). \end{aligned}$$

Since w induces a bijection of $\mathfrak{a}$, we get that for all $w \in W$, $m \in N(h)$ if and only if $w \cdot m \in N(h)$, which means that $N(h)$ is W-invariant. □

We can finally translate the information about the asymptotic behavior of metrics in terms of their Newton bodies.

Proposition 3.12. *Let h be a $K \times K$-invariant hermitian metric with non negative curvature on L. Then $N(h) \subset 2P$. If in addition h is locally bounded, then $N(h) = 2P$.*

Proof. Recall from Section 2.3.1 that the convex potential u of a $K \times K$-invariant hermitian metric h with non negative curvature on L satisfies

$$u \leq v + C_2$$

on $\mathfrak{a}$ for some constant C_2, and that if h is locally bounded then we have in addition

$$v + C_1 \leq u$$

for some constant C_1.

Now the result easily follows from Proposition 3.3 and Example 3.10. □

4. Log canonical thresholds

In this section, we reduce the computation of the log canonical threshold of a $K\times K$-invariant non-negatively curved metric to an integrability problem involving its convex potential, by using the KAK integration formula. We prove an integrability criterion for exponentials of concave functions, with respect to the measure $J(x)dx$ appearing in the KAK integration formula, and then use it to obtain an expression of the log canonical threshold in terms of the Newton body of the metric.

4.1. Log canonical thresholds on compact manifolds.

In this subsection we consider first X a compact complex manifold that is not necessarily a group compactification, and L a line bundle on X.

Definition 4.1. Let x be a point in X, and h a hermitian metric on L. The *complex singularity exponent* (or *local log canonical threshold*) of h at x, which we denote by $\mathrm{lct}(h,x)$ is the supremum of all $c>0$ such that $e^{-c\varphi}$ is integrable with respect to Lebesgue measure in a neighborhood of x, where φ is the potential of h with respect to a trivialization s of L in a neighborhood of x.

Remark 4.2. If h is a locally bounded metric then on a sufficiently small neighborhood of any point, the potential φ is a bounded function, so it is integrable. It means that for any such metric, $\mathrm{lct}(h,x)=\infty$ at any point x.

Definition 4.3. Let h be a hermitian metric on L, then the *log canonical threshold* of h is defined as

$$\mathrm{lct}(h) = \inf_{x\in X}(\mathrm{lct}(h,x)).$$

Proposition 4.4. *Let h be a singular hermitian metric on L, h_0 a locally bounded hermitian metric on L, and ψ the potential of h with respect to h_0. Let also dV be any smooth volume form on X. Then we have*

$$\mathrm{lct}(h) = \sup\left\{c>0; \int_X e^{-c\psi}dV<\infty\right\}.$$

Proof. Let x be any point in X, and s a trivialization of L on a neighborhood U of x. Up to shrinking U, we can assume that the local potential φ_0 of h_0 with respect to s is bounded.

Let φ be the local potential of h with respect to s and ψ the potential of h with respect to h_0. Then by definition of ψ, we have $\psi=\varphi-\varphi_0$ on U, and since φ_0 is bounded, the integrability of $e^{-c\varphi}$ with respect to Lebesgue measure on a neighborhood of x is equivalent to the integrability of $e^{-c\psi}$ on the same neighborhood.

Furthermore, in the neighborhood of any point x in X, the integrability with respect to Lebesgue measure is equivalent to integrability with respect to a smooth volume form.

The function ψ is defined everywhere on X, $e^{-c\psi}$ is positive, and X is compact, so $e^{-c\psi}$ is integrable with respect to dV in the neighborhood of any point in X if and only if $\int_X e^{-c\psi}dV<\infty$.

Take $0 < c < \mathrm{lct}(h)$, then $c < \mathrm{lct}(h, x)$ for all $x \in X$, so $\int_X e^{-c\psi} dV < \infty$. This means that

$$\mathrm{lct}(h) \leq \sup \left\{ c > 0; \int_X e^{-c\psi} dV < \infty \right\}.$$

Conversely, if $c > \mathrm{lct}(h)$ then there exists $x \in X$ such that $c > \mathrm{lct}(h, x)$ but then $\int_X e^{-c\psi} dV = \infty$, so $c \geq \sup \left\{ c > 0; \int_X e^{-c\psi} dV < \infty \right\}$. Taking the infimum gives the other inequality:

$$\mathrm{lct}(h) \geq \sup \left\{ c > 0; \int_X e^{-c\psi} dV < \infty \right\}.$$

This proves the proposition. □

4.2. **Log canonical thresholds on group compactifications.** Let X be a Fano compactification of G. Let L be an ample linearized line bundle on X. Using Proposition 4.4, we reduce the computation of log canonical thresholds to integrability conditions on the potentials of metrics, with respect to a smooth volume form. Since volume forms do not put weight on the (codimension one) boundary $X \setminus G$, we will restrict to integrability conditions on G. We want to use, in addition, the KAK integration formula, that we recall here :

Proposition 4.5. [Kna02, Proposition 5.28] *Let dg denote a Haar measure on G, and dx the Lebesgue measure on $\mathfrak{a}$, normalized by the lattice of one parameter subgroups N. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for any $K \times K$-invariant, dg-integrable function on G,*

$$\int_G f(g) dg = C \int_{\mathfrak{a}^+} J(x) f(\exp(x)) dx,$$

where

$$J(x) = \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} \sinh(\alpha(x))^2.$$

Let us now derive an integrability criterion with respect to J.

4.3. **Integrability criterion.**

4.3.1. *Integrability criterion on a cone.* We use the following proposition, obtained by Guenancia in [Gue12]. It is an analytic proof and generalization of the computation by Howald of the log canonical thresholds of monomial ideals. The statement given here is slightly different from the statement in [Gue12], but is in fact equivalent (see [Del15] for details).

Proposition 4.6. [Gue12, Proposition 1.9] *Let f be a convex function on $\mathfrak{a}$. Assume that σ is a smooth polyhedral cone in $\mathfrak{a} = N \otimes \mathbb{R}$. Then e^{-f} is integrable on a translate (equivalently on all translates) of σ if and only if 0 is in the interior of the Newton body of f: $0 \in \mathrm{Int}(N_\sigma(f))$.*

4.3.2. *Integrability with respect to J.* The half sum of the positive roots of Φ is denoted by ρ. We want to prove the following integrability criterion, with respect to the measure $J(x)dx$.

Proposition 4.7. *Assume that $\mathfrak{a}^+ = \bigcup_i \sigma_i$ where each σ_i is a smooth polyhedral cone of full dimension r. Let l be a function on $\mathfrak{a}$, convex on each cone σ_i. Then*

$$\int_{\mathfrak{a}^+} e^{-l(x)} J(x) dx < +\infty$$

if and only if $4\rho \in \mathrm{Int}(N_{\mathfrak{a}^+}(l))$.

Lemma 4.8. *Let σ be a smooth full dimensional polyhedral cone in $\mathfrak{a}^+$, l be a convex function on $\mathfrak{a}$, then the following are equivalent:*

- $\int_\sigma e^{-l(x)}J(x)dx < \infty$;
- $\int_\sigma e^{-l(x)+4\rho(x)}dx < \infty$;
- $4\rho \in \mathrm{Int}(N_\sigma(l))$.

Proof. Writing

$$\sinh(\alpha(x)) = \frac{e^{\alpha(x)} - e^{-\alpha(x)}}{2} = \frac{1}{2}e^{\alpha(x)}(1 - e^{-2\alpha(x)}),$$

we get that

$$J(x) = \frac{1}{2^{2\mathrm{Card}(\Phi^+)}}e^{2\sum_{\alpha\in\Phi^+}\alpha(x)}\prod_{\alpha\in\Phi^+}(1 - e^{-2\alpha(x)})^2.$$

For any $x \in \mathfrak{a}^+$ and $\alpha \in \Phi^+$, $\alpha(x) > 0$, so $0 \leq e^{-2\alpha(x)} < 1$. This implies $0 < \prod_{\alpha\in\Phi^+}(1 - e^{-2\alpha(x)})^2 \leq 1$, so

$$0 < J(x) \leq \frac{1}{2^{2\mathrm{Card}(\Phi^+)}}e^{4\rho(x)}.$$

This first inequality allows to say that if $\int_\sigma e^{-l(x)+4\rho(x)}dx < \infty$ then

$$\int_\sigma e^{-l(x)}J(x)dx < \infty.$$

Let us now prove the converse. Choose γ a point in the interior of σ. Assume that $e^{-l+4\rho}$ is not integrable on σ. Then by the usual integrability criterion (Proposition 4.6) $e^{-l+4\rho}$ is also non integrable on $\gamma + \sigma$.

But now, for $x \in \gamma + \mathfrak{a}^+$ and $\alpha \in \Phi^+$, we have $\alpha(x) \geq c = \min_{\beta\in\Phi^+}\beta(\gamma) > 0$, so $0 \leq e^{-2\alpha(x)} \leq e^{-2c} < 1$, and this implies

$$\left(\frac{1 - e^{-2c}}{2}\right)^{2\mathrm{Card}(\Phi^+)} e^{4\rho(x)} \leq J(x) \leq \frac{1}{2^{2\mathrm{Card}(\Phi^+)}}e^{4\rho(x)}.$$

This gives that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\sigma e^{-l(x)}J(x)dx &\geq \int_{\gamma+\sigma} e^{-l(x)}J(x)dx \\ &\geq \int_{\gamma+\sigma} e^{-l+4\rho}dx \\ &\geq \infty.\end{aligned}$$

We have then shown the equivalence of the two first points in the lemma. By Proposition 4.6 the second point is also equivalent to

$$0 \in \mathrm{Int}(N_\sigma(l - 4\rho)) = -4\rho + \mathrm{Int}(N_\sigma(l)).$$

Letting 4ρ go to the left, we conclude the proof. □

Now we can prove the proposition, just by gluing the parts.

Proof. Just remark that since the function $e^{-l(x)}J(x)$ is positive and the cones are full dimensional, $\int_{\mathfrak{a}^+} e^{-l(x)}J(x)dx < +\infty$ if and only if $\int_{\sigma_i} e^{-l(x)}J(x)dx < +\infty$ for all i.

For each of these integrals we can use the lemma, so the necessary and sufficient condition becomes $4\rho \in \mathrm{Int}(N_{\sigma_i}(l))$ for all i, or equivalently $4\rho \in \mathrm{Int}(\bigcap_i N_{\sigma_i}(l))$.

To conclude, observe that $N_{\mathfrak{a}^+}(l) = \bigcap_i N_{\sigma_i}(l)$ by Proposition 3.3. □

4.4. **Log canonical thresholds in terms of Newton bodies.** Let X be a Fano compactification of G. Let L be a linearized ample line bundle on X, whose associated polytope is P. Denote by Q the polytope associated to the anticanonical bundle $-K_X$. Let also H denote the convex hull of all images of 2ρ by the Weyl group W.

We want to prove the following.

Theorem 4.9. *Let h be a $K \times K$-invariant hermitian metric with non negative curvature on L, then*

$$\mathrm{lct}(h) = \sup\{c > 0; 2H + 2cP \subset cN(h) + 2Q\}.$$

We first introduce some notations.

Let us fix s_0 a left G-equivariant trivialization of L on G and s_1 a left G equivariant trivialization of $-K_X$ on G.

Let u be the convex potential of h with respect to the section s_0. Let also u_0 be the support function of P and h_0 be the corresponding metric. It has locally bounded potentials. Denote by ψ the potential of h with respect to h_0.

Since X is Fano, we can choose h_1 a smooth metric on $-K_X$ with positive curvature, and let u_1 be its convex potential with respect to s_1. This choice determines a smooth volume form on X, which writes, on G,

$$dV = e^{-u_1}dg$$

where dg is the Haar measure $s_1^{-1} \wedge \overline{s_1^{-1}}$.

Remark 4.10. In particular, the integral of this volume form is finite, so applying the KAK integration formula this means that

$$\int_{\mathfrak{a}^+} e^{-u_1}Jdx < \infty.$$

By Proposition 4.7, this implies that

$$4\rho \in \mathrm{Int}(N(h_1)) = \mathrm{Int}(2Q).$$

Another way to say that is $H \subset \mathrm{Int}(Q)$.

Proof. Using Proposition 4.4, then restricting to the dense orbit, we get:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{lct}(h) &= \sup\left\{c > 0; \int_X e^{-c\psi}dV < \infty\right\} \\ &= \sup\left\{c > 0; \int_G e^{-c\psi}dV < \infty\right\}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\psi(\exp(x)) = u(x) - u_0(x)$, we can now use the KAK integration formula to write:

$$\mathrm{lct}(h) = \sup\left\{c > 0; \int_{\mathfrak{a}^+} e^{-c(u-u_0)}e^{-u_1}Jdx < \infty\right\}.$$

Then Proposition 4.7 gives:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{lct}(h) &= \sup\{c>0; 4\rho \in \mathrm{Int}(N_{\mathfrak{a}^+}(cu - cu_0 + u_1))\}\\ &= \sup\{c>0; 4\rho \in N_{\mathfrak{a}^+}(cu - cu_0 + u_1)\}.\end{aligned}$$

Let σ_i be the cones of full dimension in the fan subdivision of $\mathfrak{a}^+$ corresponding to X (induced by the fan subdivision of $\mathfrak{a}$ associated to the toric subvariety Z). Then u_0 is linear on each $-\sigma_i$. We write u_0^i the corresponding element of $\mathfrak{a}^*$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{lct}(h) &= \sup\{c>0; \forall i,\ 4\rho \in N_{-\sigma_i}(cu - cu_0 + u_1)\}\\ &= \sup\{c>0; \forall i,\ 4\rho + cu_0^i \in N_{-\sigma_i}(cu + u_1)\}.\end{aligned}$$

Recall from Example 3.4 that $P = N_{\mathfrak{a}}(u_0) \subset u_0^i + \sigma_i^\vee$, so that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{lct}(h) &= \sup\{c>0; \forall i,\ 4\rho + cP \in N_{-\sigma_i}(cu + u_1)\}\\ &= \sup\{c>0; 4\rho + cP \in N_{\mathfrak{a}^+}(cu + u_1)\}\\ &= \sup\{c>0; 2H + 2cP \subset N_{\mathfrak{a}}(cu + u_1)\}\end{aligned}$$

by W-invariance.

To conclude it remains to remark that both u and u_1 are convex, so by Proposition 3.8,

$$N_{\mathfrak{a}}(cu + u_1) = cN_{\mathfrak{a}}(u) + N_{\mathfrak{a}}(u_1) = cN(h) + 2Q.$$

□

5. Alpha invariants

We obtain in this section an expression for the α-invariant of a polarized group compactification in terms of its polytope. We first give the result for general reductive group compactifications, then see how it simplifies when the group is semisimple. We then discuss some some examples and how some additional symmetries can be taken into account for reductive group compactifications.

5.1. General formula.

Definition 5.1. Let X be a compact complex manifold, K a compact subgroup of the automorphisms group of X, and L a K-linearized line bundle on X. The *alpha invariant* of L relative to the group K, denoted by $\alpha_K(L)$ is the infimum of the log canonical thesholds of all K-invariant singular hermitian metrics on L with non negative curvature.

Let P and Q be two convex bodies in $\mathfrak{a}^*$. Recall the definition of the *Minkowski difference*:

$$Q \ominus P = \{x | x + P \subset Q\}.$$

Another expression of the Minkowski difference is the following, which shows that it is convex if Q is convex:

$$Q \ominus P = \bigcap_{p\in P} (-p + Q).$$

If P_1, P_2 and Q are three convex bodies, then $P_1 + Q \subset P_2$ if and only if $P_1 \subset P_2 \ominus Q$.

We can now state our main result.

Theorem 5.2. *Let (X, L) be a polarized compactification of G, and $P := P(X, L)$. Assume furthermore that X is Fano and let $Q := P(X, -K_X)$. Then*

$$\alpha_{K\times K}(L) = \sup\{c > 0; c(P + (-P^W)) \subset Q \ominus H\},$$

where P^W denotes the subset of W-invariant points of P.

Proof. Let h be any $K \times K$-invariant metric on L with non negative curvature. The Newton body of h is convex and W-stable. In particular it contains a W-invariant point p, for example the barycenter of the orbit of any point in $N(h)$.

Denote by h_p the $K \times K$-invariant metric on L with non negative curvature whose convex potential is the function $x \mapsto p(x)$. Then $\{p\} = N(h_p) \subset N(h)$, so by the expression of the log canonical thresholds from Theorem 4.9, $\mathrm{lct}(h) \geq \mathrm{lct}(h_p)$.

Since all such h_p for $p \in 2P^W$ define a singular hermitian metric with non-negative curvature, this remark allows to write the alpha invariant as

$$\alpha_{K\times K}(L) = \inf_{p\in 2P^W} \mathrm{lct}(h_p).$$

Now from the expression of the log canonical threshold we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{lct}(h_p) &= \sup\{c > 0; 2H + 2cP \subset cN(h_p) + 2Q\}\\ &= \sup\{c > 0; -cp + 2cP \subset 2Q \ominus 2H\}.\end{aligned}$$

Then the expression of the alpha invariant further simplifies as

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_{K\times K}(L) &= \inf_{p\in 2P^W} \sup\{c > 0; -cp + 2cP \subset 2Q \ominus 2H\}\\ &= \sup\{c > 0; \forall p \in 2P^W, -cp + 2cP \subset 2Q \ominus 2H\}\\ &= \sup\{c > 0; 2cP + (-2cP^W) \subset 2Q \ominus 2H\}.\end{aligned}$$

Dividing by two yields

$$= \sup\{c > 0; c(P + (-P^W)) \subset Q \ominus H\}$$

which is the expression in the statement of the Theorem. □

Remark 5.3. In the toric case, we recover our previous computation [Del15]:

$$\alpha_{(\mathbb{S}^1)^n}(L) = \sup\{c > 0; c(P + (-P)) \subset Q\}.$$

5.2. **Semisimple case.** The alpha invariant of an ample line bundle on a Fano compactification of a semisimple group can be easily expressed in terms of the polytope associated to L as an inradius between two convex bodies.

Definition 5.4. The *inradius* of Q with respect to P is the number:

$$\mathrm{inr}(P, Q) := \sup\{c \geq 0 | \exists x,\ x + cP \subset Q\}.$$

Corollary 5.5. *Assume that G is a semisimple group. Then*

$$\alpha_{K\times K}(L) = \mathrm{inr}(P, Q \ominus H).$$

Proof. If G is semisimple, we have $P^W = \{0\}$. In fact, the metric h_0 whose convex potential is the zero function satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_{K\times K}(L) &= \mathrm{lct}(h_0)\\ &= \sup\{c > 0; cP \subset Q \ominus H\}.\end{aligned}$$

And this is equal to the inradius $\mathrm{inr}(P, Q \ominus H)$.

Indeed, one inequality is trivial: $\mathrm{inr}(P, Q \ominus H) \geq \alpha_{K\times K}(L)$. Conversely, assume $c \leq \mathrm{inr}(P, Q \ominus H)$, i.e. there exists an $x \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ such that

$$x + cP \subset Q \ominus H.$$

Then since P and $Q \ominus H$ are stable under W-action, we also have

$$\forall w \in W,\ w \cdot x + cP \subset Q \ominus H.$$

Convexity and the fact that the barycenter of the W-orbit of x is 0 imply that $cP \subset Q \ominus H$, so $c \leq \alpha_{K\times K}(L)$. We have thus proved the other inequality $\mathrm{inr}(P, Q \ominus H) \leq \alpha_{K\times K}(L)$. □

Remark 5.6. In the case of reductive groups, the alpha invariant is not an inradius, but we can bound it from above by an inradius:

$$\alpha_{K\times K}(L) \leq \mathrm{inr}(P + (-P)^W, Q \ominus H).$$

5.3. **Additional symmetries.** If the polytopes P and Q admit additional common symmetries, then the value of the alpha invariant can be improved. Indeed, the symmetries of Q translate to a finite subgroup O of the automorphisms group of the variety X, and if P is stable under these symmetries, then it is linearized by O. We can thus consider the alpha invariant with respect to the bigger group generated by $K \times K$ and O, that we denote K_O.

We then have, adapting the proof of Theorem 5.2,

$$\alpha_{K_O}(L) = \sup\{c > 0; c(P + (-P^{\langle W,O\rangle})) \subset Q \ominus H\}.$$

In particular, if the only fixed point under $\langle W, O\rangle$ is the origin, then just as in the semisimple case, we get

$$\alpha_{K_O}(L) = \mathrm{inr}(P, Q \ominus H).$$

5.4. **Examples.** Let us compute the α invariant of the anticanonical line bundle for some wonderful compactifications of semisimple groups. First remark that in this case we have $P = Q$ and can rewrite the expression of the invariant as :

$$\alpha_{K\times K}(X, -K_X) = \sup\{c > 0; H \subset (1 - c)Q\},$$

or, by W-invariance,

$$\alpha_{K\times K}(X, -K_X) = \sup\{c > 0; 2\rho \in (1 - c)Q^+\}.$$

Remark 5.7. It is interesting to notice that this quantity appeared in the determination of some volume asymptotics by Chambert-Loir and Tschinkel. If σ denotes the quantity the authors compute in the examples of compactifications of semisimple groups [CLT10, Section 5.3], we have $\sigma = 1 - \alpha_{K\times K}(X, -K_X)$ if the polytope considered is the anticanonical polytope of a Fano compactification. This is because their computation in this special case is equivalent to a computation of the log canonical threshold of a metric on the anticanonical line bundle with constant convex potential.

For wonderful compactifications of semisimple adjoint groups, the polytope of the anticanonical line bundle Q is determined by the root system. Indeed, recall that it is the convex hull of the images by W of the weight $2\rho + \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i$ where the α_i are the simple roots of Φ^+.

In particular, when $G = (\mathrm{PSL}^2(\mathbb{C}))^n$, for any $n \geq 1$, the simple roots are the same as the positive roots, so $Q = 2H$.

Corollary 5.8. *Let X be the wonderful compactification of $(\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C}))^n$, then*

$$\alpha_{K\times K}(-K_X) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Proof. Applying Corollary 5.5 gives

$$\alpha_{K\times K}(-K_X) = \mathrm{inr}(2H, H) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

□

More generally for type A_n, choosing an appropriate ordering of the simple roots $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$, we can write the positive roots as

$$\alpha_i + \alpha_{i+1} + \cdots + \alpha_j$$

for each pair (i, j) with $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$. We see then that the coefficient of α_k in the sum of positive roots $\sum_{l=1}^{n} \alpha_l$ is equal to the cardinal of the set $\{(i, j); 1 \leq i \leq k \leq j \leq n\}$. This is $k(n-k+1)$. Adding the sum of simple roots, we see that the k^{th}-coordinate of the vertex defining the polytope of the wonderful compactification of $\mathrm{PSL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ in the basis of simple roots is $1 + k(n-k+1)$.

Then from our result, the alpha invariant is easily seen to be the maximum of all $c > 0$ such that for each k, $c(1 + k(n-k+1)) \leq 1$. We deduce the following value for the alpha invariant.

Corollary 5.9. *Let X be the wonderful compactification of $\mathrm{PSL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$, then*

$$\alpha_{K\times K}(-K_X) = \frac{1}{1 + \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil (\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1)}.$$

References

[AB04] V. Alexeev and M. Brion. Stable reductive varieties. II. Projective case. *Adv. Math.*, 184(2):380–408, 2004.

[AL92] H. Azad and J.-J. Loeb. Plurisubharmonic functions and Kählerian metrics on complexification of symmetric spaces. *Indag. Math. (N.S.)*, 3(4):365–375, 1992.

[Amb] F. Ambro. Variation of log canonical thresholds in linear systems. Arxiv : 1411.2770.

[BK05] M. Brion and S. Kumar. *Frobenius splitting methods in geometry and representation theory*, volume 231 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2005.

[Bri07] M. Brion. The total coordinate ring of a wonderful variety. *J. Algebra*, 313(1):61–99, 2007.

[CLT10] A. Chambert-Loir and Y. Tschinkel. Igusa integrals and volume asymptotics in analytic and adelic geometry. *Confluentes Math.*, 2(3):351–429, 2010.

[DCP83] C. De Concini and C. Procesi. Complete symmetric varieties. In *Invariant theory (Montecatini, 1982)*, volume 996 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 1–44. Springer, Berlin, 1983.

[Dela] T. Delcroix. Kähler-einstein metrics on group compactifications. preprint.

[Delb] T. Delcroix. Métriques de kähler-einstein sur les compactifications de groupes. PhD Thesis.

[Del15] T. Delcroix. Alpha-invariant of toric line bundles. *Ann. Pol. Math.*, 114:13–27, 2015.

[Ful93] W. Fulton. *Introduction to toric varieties*, volume 131 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993. The William H. Roever Lectures in Geometry.

[Gue12] H. Guenancia. Toric plurisubharmonic functions and analytic adjoint ideal sheaves. *Math. Z.*, 271(3-4):1011–1035, 2012.

[Kna02] A. W. Knapp. *Lie groups beyond an introduction*, volume 140 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, second edition, 2002.

[LSY15] H. Li, Y. Shi, and Y. Yao. A criterion for the properness of the K-energy in a general Kähler class. *Math. Ann.*, 361(1-2):135–156, 2015.

[Mai00] V. Maillot. Géométrie d'Arakelov des variétés toriques et fibrés en droites intégrables. *Mém. Soc. Math. Fr. (N.S.)*, (80):vi+129, 2000.

[Oda88] T. Oda. *Convex bodies and algebraic geometry*, volume 15 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988. An introduction to the theory of toric varieties, Translated from the Japanese.

[Per14] N. Perrin. On the geometry of spherical varieties. *Transform. Groups*, 19(1):171–223, 2014.

[Roc97] R. T. Rockafellar. *Convex analysis*. Princeton Landmarks in Mathematics. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1997. Reprint of the 1970 original, Princeton Paperbacks.

[Son05] J. Song. The α-invariant on toric Fano manifolds. *Amer. J. Math.*, 127(6):1247–1259, 2005.

[Tia87] G. Tian. On Kähler-Einstein metrics on certain Kähler manifolds with $C_1(M) > 0$. *Invent. Math.*, 89(2):225–246, 1987.

[Tim11] D. A. Timashev. *Homogeneous spaces and equivariant embeddings*, volume 138 of *Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences*. Springer, Heidelberg, 2011. Invariant Theory and Algebraic Transformation Groups, 8.

E-mail address: thibaut.delcroix@ujf-grenoble.fr

Univ. Grenoble Alpes, IF, F-38000 Grenoble, France, CNRS, IF, F-38000 Grenoble, France